arXiv:1606.01982v1 [math.RA] 7 Jun 2016

SELF-DUAL NONSYMMETRIC OPERADS WITH TWO BINARY OPERATIONS

MURRAY BREMNER AND JUANA SÁNCHEZ-ORTEGA

Abstract. We consider nonsymmetric operads with two binary operations satisfying relations in arity 3; hence these operads are quadratic, and so we can investigate Koszul duality. We first consider operations which are nonassociative (not necessarily associative) and then specialize to the associative case. We obtain a complete classification of self-dual quadratic nonsymmetric operads with two (associative or nonassociative) binary operations. These operads generalize associativity for one operation to the setting of two operations.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Associativity for one operation.** Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the free nonsymmetric operad generated by one binary operation $\bullet$ over the field $\mathbb{F}$:

$$\mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{w\geq 0} \mathcal{O}(w), \qquad \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \mathcal{O}(w) = \frac{1}{w+1}\binom{2w}{w}.$$

A basis of $\mathcal{O}(w)$ consists of all complete rooted binary trees with w internal nodes, and hence $w+1$ leaves. (Note that we are indexing by weight, not arity.) We interpret these trees as *association types* (placements of parentheses) for the composition of $w+1$ arguments: the internal nodes represent the operation $\bullet$ and the leaves represent the arguments $x_1, \ldots, x_{w+1}$. Since $\mathcal{O}$ is a nonsymmetric operad, we do not need to specify the arguments: the i-th argument is always x_i for $1 \leq i \leq w+1$. We call this set of trees the *monomial basis* of $\mathcal{O}(w)$; its size is the Catalan number. Any (nonzero) element of $\mathcal{O}(w)$ is called a *relation of weight* w. To illustrate, we list the monomial bases for $0 \leq w \leq 3$, using dash to represent an argument:

$$\begin{aligned} &w=0\colon \quad - \qquad w=1\colon \quad - \bullet - \qquad w=2\colon \quad (- \bullet -) \bullet -, \quad - \bullet (- \bullet -) \\ &w=3\colon \quad ((- \bullet -) \bullet -) \bullet -, \quad (- \bullet (- \bullet -)) \bullet -, \quad (- \bullet -) \bullet (- \bullet -), \\ &\qquad\quad - \bullet ((- \bullet -) \bullet -), \quad - \bullet (- \bullet (- \bullet -)). \end{aligned}$$

Given basis monomials m_1, m_2 of weights w_1, w_2 we define the *composition* $m_1 \circ_i m_2$ for $1 \leq i \leq w_1+1$ to be the result of substituting m_2 for the i-th argument of m_1; in terms of trees, we are identifying the root of m_2 with the i-th leaf of m_1.

A quadratic relation ($w = 2$) has the form $am_1+bm_2 \equiv 0$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$ (not both 0) where $m_1 = (- \bullet -) \bullet -$, $m_2 = - \bullet (- \bullet -)$. We define a symmetric bilinear form $\langle\, , \rangle$ on $\mathcal{O}(2)$ by $\langle m_1, m_1\rangle = 1$, $\langle m_2, m_2\rangle = -1$, $\langle m_1, m_2\rangle = 0$; see Loday [5, Proposition B.3]. With respect to this form, the orthogonal complement of the subspace spanned by $am_1 + bm_2$ has basis $bm_1 + am_2$. The operad is (Koszul)

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 18D50. Secondary 13P10, 15A54, 16S37, 17A30, 68W30.

Key words and phrases. Algebraic operads, Koszul duality, Gröbner bases, computer algebra.

self-dual (see §2.2) if and only if these two subspaces coincide; equivalently, the matrix $R = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$ has rank 1. This holds if and only if $\det R = a^2 - b^2 = 0$ (and a, b are not both 0). Up to scalar multiples, the only solutions are $(a, b) = (1, 1)$ and $(a, b) = (1, -1)$, which define the anti-associative operad $m_1 + m_2 \equiv 0$ and the associative operad $m_1 - m_2 \equiv 0$.

By a result of Osborn [8, Corollary 2] we know that a homogeneous polynomial identity is satisfied by a unital algebra if and only if the sum of its coefficients is 0. This condition distinguishes associativity from anti-associativity: only the former is satisfied by unital algebras. We have therefore classified self-dual nonsymmetric operads with one binary operation, and those which define unital algebras.

Our goal in this paper is to extend this classification to operads with two binary operations. This allows us to determine generalizations of associativity for these operads, in the sense that the relations define a self-dual nonsymmetric operad, and in every relation the sum of coefficients is 0. We use an approach based on computer algebra; our main tools are linear algebra over polynomial rings and Gröbner bases for polynomial ideals [1, Chs. 7-10]. Throughout, we assume that all vector spaces are over the field $\mathbb{F}$ which is algebraically closed of characteristic 0.

1.2. **Operads with two binary operations.** To motivate our study of structures with two binary operations, we recall the most important examples. In his encyclopedia of algebras, Zinbiel (Loday) [9] mentions a number of algebraic operads with two binary operations satisfying relations which are quadratic (each monomial has two operations and three arguments) and nonsymmetric (each monomial has the identity permutation of the arguments). Some are (Koszul) self-dual, but most are not. In most cases, both operations are associative; we denote them by $\vdash$ and $\dashv$.

Definition 1.1. Two-associative algebras satisfy only associativity:

$$(a \vdash b) \vdash c \equiv a \vdash (b \vdash c), \qquad (a \dashv b) \dashv c \equiv a \dashv (b \dashv c).$$

Dual two-associative algebras satisfy associativity and these relations:

$$(a \vdash b) \dashv c \equiv 0, \qquad (a \dashv b) \vdash c \equiv 0, \qquad a \vdash (b \dashv c) \equiv 0, \qquad a \dashv (b \vdash c) \equiv 0.$$

Duplicial algebras satisfy associativity and inner associativity:

$$(a \vdash b) \dashv c \equiv a \vdash (b \dashv c).$$

Dual duplicial algebras satisfy associativity, inner associativity, and:

$$(a \dashv b) \vdash c \equiv 0, \qquad a \dashv (b \vdash c) \equiv 0.$$

Completely associative algebras satisfy the following relations which include associativity and define a self-dual operad:

$$(a * b) *' c \equiv a * (b *' c), \qquad *, *' \in \{\vdash, \dashv\}.$$

Two-compatible algebras satisfy associativity and the relation which states that any linear combination of the operations is associative:

$$(a \vdash b) \dashv c + (a \dashv b) \vdash c \equiv a \vdash (b \dashv c) + a \dashv (b \vdash c).$$

Dual two-compatible algebras satisfy the relations of completely associative and two-compatible algebras. **Diassociative** algebras (or associative dialgebras) satisfy associativity, inner associativity, and the left and right bar relations:

$$(a \vdash b) \vdash c \equiv (a \dashv b) \vdash c, \qquad a \dashv (b \vdash c) \equiv a \dashv (b \dashv c).$$

The dual operad defines **dendriform** algebras which have nonassociative operations satisfying inner associativity and these relations:

$$(a \dashv b) \dashv c \equiv a \dashv (b \dashv c) + a \dashv (b \vdash c), \qquad a \vdash (b \vdash c) \equiv (a \vdash b) \vdash c + (a \dashv b) \vdash c.$$

2. Binary operations and Koszul duality

2.1. **Binary operations.** We write $\mathcal{O}$ for the free nonsymmetric operad generated by two (nonassociative) binary operations $\vdash$ and $\dashv$ which form a basis of the space $\mathcal{O}(1)$ of all binary operations. For $w \geq 0$, a basis of $\mathcal{O}(w)$ consists of all complete rooted binary trees with w internal nodes each labelled by an operation.

Lemma 2.1. *We have*

$$\dim \mathcal{O}(w) = \frac{2^w}{w+1}\binom{2w}{w}$$

Proof. The factor 2^w represents the choices of operation symbols. □

Example 2.2. We have $\dim \mathcal{O}(0) = 1$ with basis $\{-\}$ (the argument symbol), and $\dim \mathcal{O}(1) = 2$ with ordered basis $\{- \vdash -,\ - \dashv -\}$. Every quadratic relation is an element of $\mathcal{O}(2)$ which has dimension 8 and the ordered basis in Table 1.

$$\begin{array}{llll} (- \vdash -) \vdash -, & (- \vdash -) \dashv -, & (- \dashv -) \vdash -, & (- \dashv -) \dashv -, \\ - \vdash (- \vdash -), & - \vdash (- \dashv -), & - \dashv (- \vdash -), & - \dashv (- \dashv -). \end{array}$$

Table 1. Ordered basis of quadratic space $\mathcal{O}(2)$ for two binary operations

Definition 2.3. A (nonzero) element $\rho \in \mathcal{O}(2)$ is called a **quadratic relation**, and a subspace $R \subseteq \mathcal{O}(2)$ is a **space of quadratic relations**. The **operad ideal** (R) generated by a subspace $R \subseteq \mathcal{O}(w)$ is the smallest subspace of $\mathcal{O}$ which contains R and is closed under composition by arbitrary elements of $\mathcal{O}$.

The elements of a space R of quadratic relations are satisfied by the quotient operad $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{O}/(R)$ where (R) is the operad ideal generated by R. If $\dim R = r$ then R is the row space of a unique $r \times \dim \mathcal{O}(2)$ matrix denoted $[R]$ which has full rank and is in row canonical form (RCF); the columns are labelled by the ordered basis in Table 1. Conversely, the row space of any matrix with 8 columns can be regarded as a space of quadratic relations.

Definition 2.4. The matrix $[R]$ is the **relation matrix** of the quadratic operad $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{O}/(R)$, and its rank r is the **relation rank** of $\mathcal{Q}$.

2.2. **Koszul duality.** Loday [5, Proposition B.3], see also [6, Chapter 7], has shown that Koszul duality for binary operations can be defined in elementary terms, using a nondegenerate inner product $\langle -, - \rangle$ on $\mathcal{O}(2)$. For n-ary operations, see [7, §2].

Definition 2.5. For all $\bullet_1, \bullet_2 \in \{\vdash, \dashv\}$ we define the symmetric bilinear form $\langle -, - \rangle$ on basis monomials in Table 1 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\, (-\ \bullet_1\ -)\ \bullet_2\ -,\ -\ \bullet_1\ (-\ \bullet_2\ -)\,\rangle &= \ \ 0, \\ \langle\, (-\ \bullet_1\ -)\ \bullet_2\ -,\ (-\ \bullet_1\ -)\ \bullet_2\ -\,\rangle &= \ \ 1, \\ \langle\, -\ \bullet_1\ (-\ \bullet_2\ -),\ -\ \bullet_1\ (-\ \bullet_2\ -)\,\rangle &= -1. \end{aligned}$$

Notation 2.6. For any subspace $R \subseteq \mathcal{O}(2)$ we write $R^{\pm}$ for its orthogonal complement with respect to the symmetric bilinear form $\langle -, - \rangle$ of Definition 2.5. We write $R^{\perp}$ for its orthogonal complement with respect to the Euclidean inner product for which the monomials in Table 1 are an orthonormal basis.

Definition 2.7. If $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{O}/(R)$ then its **Koszul dual** is $\mathcal{Q}^! = \mathcal{O}/(R^\pm)$. We say that $\mathcal{Q}$ is **self-dual** if $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}^!$ (equivalently $R^\pm = R$).

Lemma 2.8. *We have* $\dim R + \dim R^\pm = 8$. *If* $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}^!$ *then* $\dim R = 4$.

Proof. $\langle -, - \rangle$ is nondegenerate and if $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}^!$ then $\dim R = \dim R^\pm$. □

Remark 2.9. The relation matrices $[R]$ have entries in the polynomial ring $\Phi = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_p]$, so we regard operads as modules over Φ, not vector spaces over $\mathbb{F}$.

Loday has shown that computing $R^\pm$ can be reduced to computing the Euclidean orthogonal complement of a modified space; see Table 2.

Input: The relation matrix $[R]$ for the quadratic operad $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{O}/(R)$ where $R \subseteq \mathcal{O}(2)$ and the entries of $[R]$ belong to Φ.
Output: The relation matrix $[R^\pm]$ for the Koszul dual $\mathcal{Q}^! = \mathcal{O}/(R^\pm)$.
Algorithm:
(1) Since the last 4 monomials in Table 1 have association type 2, we multiply columns 5–8 of $[R]$ by -1 to obtain the matrix $[R']$.
(2) Since any leading 1 of $[R]$ in position (i, j) for $j \geq 5$ becomes -1 in $[R']$, we multiply any such rows of $[R']$ by -1 to obtain $[R'']$.
(3) To find a basis for $R^\pm = (R'')^\perp$, we solve the linear system $[R'']X = 0$. If $\dim R = r$ then there are $8-r$ free variables; we set them equal to the $8-r$ standard basis vectors in $\mathbb{F}^{8-r}$ and solve for the leading variables.
(4) Construct the $(8-r) \times 8$ matrix $[R^\pm]$ whose rows are the basis vectors for $(R'')^\perp$ computed in step (3).
To find conditions for self-duality when $r = 4$ we do two more steps:
(5) Stack $[R]$ onto $[R^\pm]$, and use the leading 1s of the upper block $[R]$ to reduce the rows of the lower block $[R^\pm]$, obtaining the matrix $[T]$: $\begin{bmatrix} R \\ R^\pm \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{[R] \text{ reduces } [R^\pm] \text{ to } [T]} \begin{bmatrix} R \\ T \end{bmatrix}$
(6) The operad is self-dual if and only if $R = R^\pm$; that is, $[T] = 0$. Since the entries of $[T]$ are elements of Φ, we find the zero set of the ideal generated by $[T]$; these values of the parameters $x_1, \ldots, x_p$ define self-dual operads.

TABLE 2. Loday's algorithm for the Koszul dual relation matrix

3. Self-duality for two nonassociative operations

By *nonassociative* in this section we mean *not necessarily associative*: we do not explicitly assume associativity, but we will in §4.

3.1. **Computational methods.** In a matrix in RCF, the entries above, below, and to the left of each leading 1 are 0, and the remaining entries are free parameters. For an $r \times n$ matrix, there are $\binom{n}{r}$ choices of columns $j_1 < \cdots < j_r$ for leading 1s. In particular, for $r = 4$ and $n = 8$ we have 70 cases.

Definition 3.1. We define the **lex order** on subsets by $\{j_1, \ldots, j_r\} \prec \{j'_1, \ldots, j'_r\}$ if and only if $j_k < j'_k$ where k is the least index for which $j_k \neq j'_k$.

Lemma 3.2. *The number of parameters as a function of* $\{j_1, \dots, j_r\}$ *is:*

$$p = p(j_1, \dots, j_r) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \big[(n - j_i) - (r - i)\big].$$

Proof. Add the number of entries to the right of each leading 1, and subtract the number of entries which belong to the column of another leading 1. □

Lemma 3.3. *For the 35 subsets* $\{j_1, \dots, j_4\}$ *not containing 1 the matrices* $[R]$ *do not define self-dual operads for any values of the parameters.*

Proof. Starting with $[R]$, we compute $[R']$, $[R'']$, $[R^{\pm}]$, $[T]$. Since column 1 of $[R]$ is 0, column 1 of $[R^{\pm}]$ contains a leading 1. This leading 1 remains unchanged when we compute $[T]$. But if $[T]$ contains 1 then its entries generate the unit ideal Φ. □

Example 3.4. For $\{j_1, \dots, j_4\} = \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$ we obtain:

$$[R] = \begin{bmatrix} 0&1&0&0&0&A&B&C \\ 0&0&1&0&0&D&E&F \\ 0&0&0&1&0&G&H&I \\ 0&0&0&0&1&J&K&L \end{bmatrix} \qquad [R'] = \begin{bmatrix} 0&1&0&0&0&-A&-B&-C \\ 0&0&1&0&0&-D&-E&-F \\ 0&0&0&1&0&-G&-H&-I \\ 0&0&0&0&-1&-J&-K&-L \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[R''] = \begin{bmatrix} 0&1&0&0&0&-A&-B&-C \\ 0&0&1&0&0&-D&-E&-F \\ 0&0&0&1&0&-G&-H&-I \\ 0&0&0&0&1&J&K&L \end{bmatrix} \qquad [R^{\pm}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1&0&0&0&0&0&0&0 \\ 0&A&D&G&-J&1&0&0 \\ 0&B&E&H&-K&0&1&0 \\ 0&C&F&I&-L&0&0&1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The resulting matrix $[T]$ is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10000 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 00000 & J^2{-}G^2{-}D^2{-}A^2{+}1 & JK{-}GH{-}DE{-}AB & JL{-}GI{-}DF{-}AC \\ 00000 & JK{-}GH{-}DE{-}AB & K^2{-}H^2{-}E^2{-}B^2{+}1 & KL{-}HI{-}EF{-}BC \\ 00000 & JL{-}GI{-}DF{-}AC & KL{-}HI{-}EF{-}BC & L^2{-}I^2{-}F^2{-}C^2{+}1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since $[T]$ contains 1 as an entry, no values of the parameters give $[T] = 0$. Note that the lower right 3×3 block is $I - M$ where $M = (v_i \cdot v_j)$ for $(a_1, \dots, a_4) \cdot (b_1, \dots, b_4) = a_1b_1 + a_2b_2 + a_3b_3 - a_4b_4$ and v_1, v_2, v_3 are the last 3 columns of $[R]$.

3.2. **Cases 1 to 35.** We now consider the subsets $\{j_1, \dots, j_4\}$ for which $j_1 = 1$.

Lemma 3.5. *Of the 35 subsets* $\{1, j_2, j_3, j_4\}$ *there are 21 for which the ideal generated by the entries of* $[T]$ *equals* Φ*: in lex order, cases 5, 9, 12–15, 19, 22–35. For these cases,* $[R]$ *does not define a self-dual operad for any values of the parameters.*

Proof. We give details for case 5; the computations in the other cases are similar. With leading 1s in columns 1, 2, 3, 8 we obtain:

$$\begin{bmatrix} R \\ R^{\pm} \end{bmatrix} = \left[\begin{array}{cccccccc} 1&0&0&A&B&C&D&0 \\ 0&1&0&E&F&G&H&0 \\ 0&0&1&I&J&K&L&0 \\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&0&1 \\ \hline -A&-E&-I&1&0&0&0&0 \\ B&F&J&0&1&0&0&0 \\ C&G&K&0&0&1&0&0 \\ D&H&L&0&0&0&1&0 \end{array}\right]$$

Reducing $[R^{\pm}]$ using $[R]$ produces the matrix $[T]$ whose nonzero columns are:

$$\begin{bmatrix} I^2{+}E^2{+}A^2{+}1 & IJ{+}EF{+}AB & IK{+}EG{+}AC & IL{+}EH{+}AD \\ -IJ{-}EF{-}AB & -J^2{-}F^2{-}B^2{+}1 & -JK{-}FG{-}BC & -JL{-}FH{-}BD \\ -IK{-}EG{-}AC & -JK{-}FG{-}BC & -K^2{-}G^2{-}C^2{+}1 & -KL{-}GH{-}CD \\ -IL{-}EH{-}AD & -JL{-}FH{-}BD & -KL{-}GH{-}CD & -L^2{-}H^2{-}D^2{+}1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$[T] = 0$ if and only if there exist 4 vectors in $\mathbb{F}^3$, namely the columns of the 3×4 block of $[R]$ containing the parameters, satisfying these equations with respect to the Euclidean inner product: $v_1 \cdot v_1 = -1$, $v_2 \cdot v_2 = v_3 \cdot v_3 = v_4 \cdot v_4 = 1$, $v_i \cdot v_j = 0$ $(i \neq j)$. This says that there exist 4 orthogonal nonzero vectors in $\mathbb{F}^3$; contradiction. □

We can also prove Lemma 3.5 using computer algebra; see Table 3.

Input: A monomial order $\prec$ on $\Phi = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_p]$, together with a subset $G = \{f_1 \prec \cdots \prec f_n\} \subset \Phi$ generating the ideal $I \subseteq \Phi$.
Output: The Gröbner basis of I with respect to $\prec$.
Algorithm:
(1) Set $G_0 \leftarrow \emptyset$ and $G_1 \leftarrow G$.
(2) Set $k \leftarrow 1$.
(3) While $G_{k-1} \neq G_k$ do:
  (a) Self-reduce G_k: For each $f_i \in G_k$ do:
    - Compute the normal form $N(f_i)$ with respect to the previous elements $f_1 \prec \cdots \prec f_{i-1}$.
    - If $N(f_i) = 0$ then remove f_i from G_k, otherwise replace f_i by $\mathsf{monicform}(N(f_i))$.
    - Sort G_k with respect to $\prec$.
  (b) Compute the set of S-polynomials:
    - Set $H \leftarrow \emptyset$.
    - For all $1 \leq i < j \leq |G_k|$ compute $h_{ij} = S(f_i, f_j)$ and its normal form $N(h_{ij})$ with respect to G_k; if $N(h_{ij}) \neq 0$ then set $H \leftarrow H \cup \{\mathsf{monicform}(N(h_{ij}))\}$.
  (c) Set $G_{k+1} \leftarrow G_k \cup H$. Sort G_{k+1} with respect to $\prec$.
  (d) Set $k \leftarrow k + 1$.

TABLE 3. Algorithm to compute a Gröbner basis for a polynomial ideal

Remark 3.6. We apply the algorithm of Table 3 to the ideal generated by the entries of the matrix $[T]$ from the proof of Lemma 3.5:

- $k = 1$: The original set G_1 of 10 generators is already self-reduced; it produces 24 S-polynomials with $N(h) \neq 0$.
- $k = 2$: The set G_2 has 34 elements but self-reduction eliminates 4. The remaining 30 generators produce 232 S-polynomials with $N(h) \neq 0$.
- $k = 3$: The set G_3 has 262 elements but self-reduction eliminates 114. The remaining 148 generators produce 6916 S-polynomials h with $N(h) \neq 0$.
- $k = 4$: The set G_4 has 7064 elements but self-reduction eliminates 6620. The remaining 444 generators produce 92 S-polynomials h with $N(h) \neq 0$.
- $k = 5$: The set G_5 has 536 elements but self-reduction eliminates 523. The remaining 13 generators are the 12 parameters $A, \ldots, L$ together with 1.

$k = 6$: The set G_6 has 13 elements but self-reduction eliminates 12 and leaves $\{1\}$. The algorithm terminates with the Gröbner basis $\{1\}$.

We did these calculations in Maple with the graded reverse lex order ($A \prec \cdots \prec L$).

Definition 3.7. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of 14 cases corresponding to subsets $\{1, j_2, j_3, j_4\}$ for which the entries of $[T]$ generate a proper ideal in Φ:

$$\mathcal{S} = \{\, 1,\ 2,\ 3,\ 4,\ 6,\ 7,\ 8,\ 10,\ 11,\ 16,\ 17,\ 18,\ 20,\ 21\,\}.$$

By Lemma 3.5, these cases have self-dual operads defined by the parameter values in the zero set of the ideal generated by $[T]$. The corresponding relation matrices $[R]$ are displayed in Table 4. We write P for the 4×4 **parameter matrix** obtained by deleting columns $1, j_2, j_3, j_4$ from $[R]$. In case 1, $P = [W, X, Y, Z]$ where $W = (W_1, W_2, W_3, W_4)^t$, etc. In the other cases, we obtain P by setting some entries to 0 in $[W, X, Y, Z]$; these entries are a subset of $\{W_2, W_3, W_4, X_3, X_4, Y_4\}$.

$$1\begin{bmatrix} 1&0&0&0&W_1&X_1&Y_1&Z_1\\ 0&1&0&0&W_2&X_2&Y_2&Z_2\\ 0&0&1&0&W_3&X_3&Y_3&Z_3\\ 0&0&0&1&W_4&X_4&Y_4&Z_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad 2\begin{bmatrix} 1&0&0&W_1&0&X_1&Y_1&Z_1\\ 0&1&0&W_2&0&X_2&Y_2&Z_2\\ 0&0&1&W_3&0&X_3&Y_3&Z_3\\ 0&0&0&0&1&X_4&Y_4&Z_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad 3\begin{bmatrix} 1&0&0&W_1&X_1&0&Y_1&Z_1\\ 0&1&0&W_2&X_2&0&Y_2&Z_2\\ 0&0&1&W_3&X_3&0&Y_3&Z_3\\ 0&0&0&0&0&1&Y_4&Z_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$4\begin{bmatrix} 1&0&0&W_1&X_1&Y_1&0&Z_1\\ 0&1&0&W_2&X_2&Y_2&0&Z_2\\ 0&0&1&W_3&X_3&Y_3&0&Z_3\\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&1&Z_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad 6\begin{bmatrix} 1&0&W_1&0&0&X_1&Y_1&Z_1\\ 0&1&W_2&0&0&X_2&Y_2&Z_2\\ 0&0&0&1&0&X_3&Y_3&Z_3\\ 0&0&0&0&1&X_4&Y_4&Z_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad 7\begin{bmatrix} 1&0&W_1&0&X_1&0&Y_1&Z_1\\ 0&1&W_2&0&X_2&0&Y_2&Z_2\\ 0&0&0&1&X_3&0&Y_3&Z_3\\ 0&0&0&0&0&1&Y_4&Z_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$8\begin{bmatrix} 1&0&W_1&0&X_1&Y_1&0&Z_1\\ 0&1&W_2&0&X_2&Y_2&0&Z_2\\ 0&0&0&1&X_3&Y_3&0&Z_3\\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&1&Z_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad 10\begin{bmatrix} 1&0&W_1&X_1&0&0&Y_1&Z_1\\ 0&1&W_2&X_2&0&0&Y_2&Z_2\\ 0&0&0&0&1&0&Y_3&Z_3\\ 0&0&0&0&0&1&Y_4&Z_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad 11\begin{bmatrix} 1&0&W_1&X_1&0&Y_1&0&Z_1\\ 0&1&W_2&X_2&0&Y_2&0&Z_2\\ 0&0&0&0&1&Y_3&0&Z_3\\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&1&Z_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$16\begin{bmatrix} 1&W_1&0&0&0&X_1&Y_1&Z_1\\ 0&0&1&0&0&X_2&Y_2&Z_2\\ 0&0&0&1&0&X_3&Y_3&Z_3\\ 0&0&0&0&1&X_4&Y_4&Z_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad 17\begin{bmatrix} 1&W_1&0&0&X_1&0&Y_1&Z_1\\ 0&0&1&0&X_2&0&Y_2&Z_2\\ 0&0&0&1&X_3&0&Y_3&Z_3\\ 0&0&0&0&0&1&Y_4&Z_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad 18\begin{bmatrix} 1&W_1&0&0&X_1&Y_1&0&Z_1\\ 0&0&1&0&X_2&Y_2&0&Z_2\\ 0&0&0&1&X_3&Y_3&0&Z_3\\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&1&Z_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$20\begin{bmatrix} 1&W_1&0&X_1&0&0&Y_1&Z_1\\ 0&0&1&X_2&0&0&Y_2&Z_2\\ 0&0&0&0&1&0&Y_3&Z_3\\ 0&0&0&0&0&1&Y_4&Z_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad 21\begin{bmatrix} 1&W_1&0&X_1&0&Y_1&0&Z_1\\ 0&0&1&X_2&0&Y_2&0&Z_2\\ 0&0&0&0&1&Y_3&0&Z_3\\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&1&Z_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

TABLE 4. The 14 relation matrices $[R]$ defining self-dual operads

Remark 3.8. As the parameters range over $\mathbb{F}$, each matrix in Table 4 defines a Schubert cell in the Grassmannian $G_{\mathbb{F}}(4, 8)$ of 4-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{F}^8$. The nonzero entries of P (rotated 90° counter-clockwise) form a Young diagram for a partition of the number of parameters. For example, case 1 gives $16 = 4{+}4{+}4{+}4$, and case 21 gives $10 = 4{+}3{+}2{+}1$. For more information, see Fulton [2], Hiller [3].

Lemma 3.9. *Assume that $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$. Let D and E be $n \times n$ diagonal matrices with nonzero entries ± 1. Every solution of $A^t E A = D$ has the form $A = \overline{\sqrt{D}} C \sqrt{E}$ for some orthogonal matrix C where bar denotes complex conjugate.*

Proof. If $D \neq I$ or $E \neq I$ then we extend scalars to $\mathbb{C}$ so that we can form $\sqrt{D}$ and $\sqrt{E}$. Let S be any real symmetric matrix with the same signature as E. By Sylvester's Law of Inertia there is an orthogonal matrix C for which $C^tSC = E$. Thus S has the square root $\sqrt{S} = C\sqrt{E}C^t$ and hence $\sqrt{S}^t = \sqrt{S}$. It follows that $B = \sqrt{S}C = C\sqrt{E}$ is a solution of $B^tB = E$ and every solution can be obtained this way for some orthogonal matrix C. Clearly, B satisfies $B^tB = E$ if and only if $A = (\sqrt{D})^{-1}B$ satisfies $A^tDA = E$. Finally, note that $(\sqrt{D})^{-1} = \overline{\sqrt{D}}$. □

Remark 3.10. If $A = (a_{ij})$ is a solution of $A^tEA = D$ then the columns $U_1, \ldots, U_n$ of A are basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\langle U_i, U_i\rangle = d_{ii}$ and $\langle U_i, U_j\rangle = 0$ $(i \neq j)$ where the diagonal entries of E are the signature: $\langle (v_1, \ldots, v_n), (w_1, \ldots, w_n)\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n e_{ii}v_iw_i$.

Theorem 3.11. *Assume that $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$. For every case in $\mathcal{S}$, the parameter values defining self-dual operads are the solutions of the equation $P^tDP = E$, where P is the parameter matrix and the diagonal matrices D, E are as follows:*

- *Case 1: $D = E = I_4$.*
- *Cases 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 16, 17, 18: $D = \mathrm{diag}(1, 1, 1, -1)$, $E = \mathrm{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$.*
- *Cases 10, 11, 20, 21: $D = \mathrm{diag}(1, 1, -1, -1)$, $E = \mathrm{diag}(-1, -1, 1, 1)$.*

Thus $P = \overline{\sqrt{D}}C\sqrt{E}$ where C is orthogonal and has zeros in the same entries as P.

Proof. We verify the claims case-by-case.

• *Case 1*: This case can be solved in terms of 4-dimensional Euclidean geometry. The relation matrix is $[\,R\,] = [\,I_4 \mid P\,]$ where $P = [W, X, Y, Z]$. Clearly $[\,R'\,] = [\,R''\,] = [\,I_4 \mid -P\,]$, and hence $[\,R^{\pm}\,] = [\,P^t \mid I_4\,]$. We obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} R \\ R^{\pm} \end{bmatrix} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} I_4 & P \\ \hline P^t & I_4 \end{array}\right] \xrightarrow{[R] \text{ reduces } [R^{\pm}]} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} I_4 & P \\ \hline O & T' \end{array}\right] = \begin{bmatrix} R \\ T \end{bmatrix}$$

In this and the remaining cases we simplify T by making its diagonal entries monic: we divide row i by the leading coefficient of the i-th diagonal entry for $1 \leq i \leq 4$. With these sign changes, T' becomes T'' where

$$T'' = -T' = P^tP - I = \begin{bmatrix} W \cdot W - 1 & W \cdot X & W \cdot Y & W \cdot Z \\ W \cdot X & X \cdot X - 1 & X \cdot Y & X \cdot Z \\ W \cdot Y & X \cdot Y & Y \cdot Y - 1 & Y \cdot Z \\ W \cdot Z & X \cdot Z & Y \cdot Z & Z \cdot Z - 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence, in order for the matrix P of parameter values to belong to the zero set of the ideal generated by T'', it is necessary and sufficient that its columns W, X, Y, Z form an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^4$.

The Gröbner basis for the ideal generated by T'' has 141 elements, the greatest of which in lex order is this polynomial of degree 5 with 14 terms:

$$\begin{aligned} &W_4X_4Y_3Z_1Z_2 - W_3X_4Y_4Z_1Z_2 - W_4X_4Y_1Z_2Z_3 + W_1X_4Y_4Z_2Z_3 - W_4X_2Y_3Z_1Z_4 \\ &+ W_3X_2Y_4Z_1Z_4 + W_3X_4Y_1Z_2Z_4 - W_1X_4Y_3Z_2Z_4 + W_4X_2Y_1Z_3Z_4 \\ &- W_1X_2Y_4Z_3Z_4 - W_3X_2Y_1Z_4^2 + W_1X_2Y_3Z_4^2 + W_3X_2Y_1 - W_1X_2Y_3. \end{aligned}$$

It is easier to find the zero set from the generators than the Gröbner basis.

• *Case 2*: We define the symmetric bilinear form $\langle U, V\rangle$ to have signature equal to the diagonal entries of D. We obtain

$$T'' = \begin{bmatrix} \langle W,W\rangle{+}1 & \langle W,X\rangle & \langle W,Y\rangle & \langle W,Z\rangle \\ \langle W,X\rangle & \langle X,X\rangle{-}1 & \langle X,Y\rangle & \langle X,Z\rangle \\ \langle W,Y\rangle & \langle X,Y\rangle & \langle Y,Y\rangle{-}1 & \langle Y,Z\rangle \\ \langle W,Z\rangle & \langle X,Z\rangle & \langle Y,Z\rangle & \langle Z,Z\rangle{-}1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad W = [W_1, W_2, W_3, 0]^t$$
$$= P^t D P - E.$$

Hence $P = \operatorname{diag}(1,1,1,\mp i)\, C \operatorname{diag}(\pm i, 1, 1, 1)$ for some orthogonal matrix C. Since $W_4 = 0$ we require that P (and hence C) has 0 in the lower left corner.

The Gröbner basis for the ideal generated by the entries of T'' has 112 elements, the greatest of which in lex order is this polynomial of degree 7 with 36 terms:

$$\begin{aligned} &W_1X_3Y_3Y_4^2Z_2Z_3 - W_1X_4Y_4^3Z_2Z_3 + W_3X_1Y_2Y_4^2Z_3^2 - W_2X_1Y_3Y_4^2Z_3^2 \\ &- W_1X_2Y_3Y_4^2Z_3^2 - W_1X_3Y_3^2Y_4Z_2Z_4 + W_1X_3Y_4^3Z_2Z_4 - 2W_3X_1Y_2Y_3Y_4Z_3Z_4 \\ &+ 2W_2X_1Y_3^2Y_4Z_3Z_4 + W_1X_2Y_3^2Y_4Z_3Z_4 + W_1X_2Y_4^3Z_3Z_4 + W_1X_3Y_4Z_2Z_3^2Z_4 \\ &- W_1X_2Y_4Z_3^3Z_4 + W_3X_1Y_2Y_3^2Z_4^2 - W_2X_1Y_3^3Z_4^2 - W_1X_2Y_3Y_4^2Z_4^2 \\ &- W_1X_3Y_3Z_2Z_3Z_4^2 - 2W_1X_4Y_4Z_2Z_3Z_4^2 + W_1X_2Y_3Z_3^2Z_4^2 + 2W_1X_3Y_4Z_2Z_4^3 \\ &+ W_1X_4Y_2Z_3Z_4^3 - W_1X_3Y_2Z_4^4 + W_3X_1Y_2Y_3^2 - W_2X_1Y_3^3 \\ &+ W_2X_1Y_3Y_4^2 + W_1X_3Y_3Z_2Z_3 - W_1X_4Y_4Z_2Z_3 + W_3X_1Y_2Z_3^2 \\ &- W_1X_3Y_2Z_3^2 - W_2X_1Y_3Z_3^2 + W_1X_3Y_4Z_2Z_4 + W_1X_4Y_2Z_3Z_4 \\ &+ W_1X_2Y_4Z_3Z_4 - W_1X_3Y_2Z_4^2 + W_2X_1Y_3Z_4^2 + W_2X_1Y_3. \end{aligned}$$

• *Case 3*: Define D, E as in Case 2. Then T'' has the same form as Case 2 but $W = [W_1, W_2, W_3, 0]^t$ and $X = [X_1, X_2, X_3, 0]^t$. The Gröbner basis for the ideal generated by T'' has 63 elements; the greatest is

$$W_3X_3Y_1Y_2 - W_2X_3Y_1Y_3 - W_3X_1Y_2Y_3 + W_2X_1Y_3^2 + W_2X_1Z_4^2.$$

• *Case 4*: Define D, E as in Case 2. Then T'' has the same form as Case 2 but $W = [W_1, W_2, W_3, 0]^t$, $X = [X_1, X_2, X_3, 0]^t$, $Y = [Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, 0]^t$. The Gröbner basis for the ideal generated by T'' has 31 elements; the greatest is

$$W_3X_3Y_1Y_2 - W_2X_3Y_1Y_3 - W_3X_1Y_2Y_3 + W_2X_1Y_3^2 - W_2X_1.$$

• *Case 6*: Define D, E as in Case 2. Then T'' has the same form as Case 2 but $W = [W_1, W_2, 0, 0]^t$. The Gröbner basis for the ideal generated by T'' has 72 elements; the greatest is

$$\begin{aligned} &X_4Y_1Y_2Z_3^2 - X_1Y_2Y_4Z_3^2 + X_4Z_1Z_2Z_3^2 - X_1Z_2Z_3^2Z_4 - X_4Y_1Y_2Z_4^2 + X_1Y_2Y_4Z_4^2 \\ &- X_4Z_1Z_2Z_4^2 + X_1Z_2Z_4^3 - X_4Y_1Y_2 + X_1Y_2Y_4 + X_1Z_2Z_4. \end{aligned}$$

• *Case 7*: Define D, E as in Case 2. Then T'' has the same form as Case 2 but $W = [W_1, W_2, 0, 0]^t$ and $X = [X_1, X_2, X_3, 0]^t$. The Gröbner basis for the ideal generated by T'' has 50 elements; the greatest is $X_1X_2Y_3^2 + Y_1Y_2Y_3^2 + Y_1Y_2Z_3^2 + X_1X_2Z_4^2$.
• *Case 8*: Define D, E as in Case 2. Then T'' has the same form as Case 2 but $W = [W_1, W_2, 0, 0]^t$, $X = [X_1, X_2, X_3, 0]^t$, $Y = [Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, 0]^t$. The Gröbner basis for the ideal generated by T'' has 50 elements; the greatest is $X_1X_2Y_3^2 + Y_1Y_2Y_3^2 - X_1X_2$.

• *Case 10*: We now have $D = \operatorname{diag}(1,1,-1,-1)$ and $E = \operatorname{diag}(-1,-1,1,1)$. We define $\langle U,V\rangle$ to have signature equal to the diagonal entries of D. We obtain

$$T'' = \begin{bmatrix} \langle W,W\rangle{+}1 & \langle W,X\rangle & \langle W,Y\rangle & \langle W,Z\rangle \\ \langle W,X\rangle & \langle X,X\rangle{+}1 & \langle X,Y\rangle & \langle X,Z\rangle \\ \langle W,Y\rangle & \langle X,Y\rangle & \langle Y,Y\rangle{-}1 & \langle Y,Z\rangle \\ \langle W,Z\rangle & \langle X,Z\rangle & \langle Y,Z\rangle & \langle Z,Z\rangle{-}1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{aligned} W &= [W_1,W_2,0,0]^t, \\ X &= [X_1,X_2,0,0]^t \end{aligned}$$
$$= P^tDP{-}E.$$

Lemma 3.9 shows that $P = \operatorname{diag}(1,1,\mp i,\mp i)\,C\operatorname{diag}(\pm i,\pm i,1,1)$ for some orthogonal matrix C. Since $W_3 = W_4 = X_3 = X_4 = 0$ we require that P (and hence C) has a 2×2 zero block in the lower left corner. The Gröbner basis for the ideal generated by T'' has 16 elements; the greatest is $W_2X_1X_2 - W_1X_2^2 - W_1$.
• *Case 11*: Define D,E as in Case 10. Then T'' has the same form as Case 10 but $W = [W_1,W_2,0,0]^t$, $X = [X_1,X_2,0,0]^t$, $Y = [Y_1,Y_2,Y_3,0]^t$. The Gröbner basis for the ideal generated by T'' has 13 elements; the greatest is $W_2X_1X_2 - W_1X_2^2 - W_1$.
• *Case 16*: Define D,E as in Case 2. Then T'' has the same form as Case 2 but $W = [W_1,0,0,0]^t$. The Gröbner basis for the ideal generated by T'' has 31 elements; the greatest is $X_4Y_4Z_2Z_3 - X_3Y_4Z_2Z_4 - X_4Y_2Z_3Z_4 + X_3Y_2Z_4^2 + X_3Y_2$.
• *Case 17*: Define D,E as in Case 2. Then T'' has the same form as Case 2 but $W = [W_1,0,0,0]^t$ and $X = [X_1,X_2,X_3,0]^t$. The Gröbner basis for the ideal generated by T'' has 23 elements; the greatest is $Y_2Y_3Z_4^2 + Z_2Z_3Z_4^2 + Y_2Y_3$.
• *Case 18*: Define D,E as in Case 2. Then T'' has the same form as Case 2 but $W = [W_1,0,0,0]^t$, $X = [X_1,X_2,X_3,0]^t$, $Y = [Y_1,Y_2,Y_3,0]^t$. We have $T'' = P^tDP{-}E$ and the rest is the same as in Case 2 except that $W_2 = W_3 = W_4 = X_4 = Y_4 = 0$. The Gröbner basis for the ideal generated by the entries of T'' has 33 elements, the greatest of which is $X_3Y_2Y_3 - X_2Y_3^2 + X_2$.
• *Case 20*: Define D,E as in Case 10. Then T'' has the same form as Case 10 but $W = [W_1,0,0,0]^t$ and $X = [X_1,X_2,X_3,0]^t$. The Gröbner basis for the ideal generated by T'' has 13 elements; the greatest is $Y_4Z_3Z_4 - Y_3Z_4^2 - Y_3$.
• *Case 21*: Define D,E as in Case 10. Then T'' has the same form as Case 10 but $W = [W_1,0,0,0]^t$, $X = [X_1,X_2,0,0]^t$, $Y = [Y_1,Y_2,Y_3,0]^t$. The Gröbner basis for the ideal generated by the entries of T'' has 10 elements; the greatest is W_1^2+1. □

Example 3.12. In case 21, where D,E are as in case 10, the Gröbner basis is

$$Z_3,\ \ Z_2,\ \ Z_1,\ \ Y_2,\ \ Y_1,\ \ X_1,\ \ Z_4^2+1,\ \ Y_3^2+1,\ \ X_2^2+1,\ \ W_1^2+1.$$

From this we immediately obtain the following zero set for the ideal:

$$W = [\pm i,0,0,0],\quad X = [0,\pm i,0,0],\quad Y = [0,0,\pm i,0],\quad Z = [0,0,0,\pm i].$$

The corresponding relations between the operations $\vdash, \dashv$ are:

$$\begin{aligned} (a\vdash b)\vdash c &= \mp i\,(a\vdash b)\dashv c, & (a\dashv b)\vdash c &= \mp i\,(a\dashv b)\dashv c, \\ a\vdash(b\vdash c) &= \mp i\,a\vdash(b\dashv c), & a\dashv(b\vdash c) &= \mp i\,a\dashv(b\dashv c). \end{aligned}$$

"Whenever the second operation changes, the multiplier $\pm i$ appears."

Example 3.13. In case 18, for which D,E are as in case 2, the relation matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & W_1 & 0 & 0 & X_1 & Y_1 & 0 & Z_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & X_2 & Y_2 & 0 & Z_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & X_3 & Y_3 & 0 & Z_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & Z_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

and the Gröbner basis is

$$Z_3,\ \ Z_2,\ \ Z_1,\ \ Y_1,\ \ X_1,\ \ Z_4^2+1,\ \ Y_2^2+Y_3^2-1,\ \ X_2Y_2+X_3Y_3,\ \ X_3^2+Y_3^2-1,$$
$$X_2X_3+Y_2Y_3,\ \ X_2^2-Y_3^2,\ \ W_1^2+1,\ \ X_3Y_2Y_3-X_2Y_3^2+X_2.$$

From this we obtain the following one-parameter family of solutions:

$$W_1=\pm i,\quad X_1=0,\quad X_2=\text{free},\quad X_3=\pm\sqrt{1-X_2^2},\quad Y_1=0,$$
$$Y_2=\mp\sqrt{1-X_2^2},\quad Y_3=X_2,\quad Z_1=0,\quad Z_2=0,\quad Z_3=0,\quad Z_4=\pm i.$$

The second solution is obtained by changing the signs of Y_2 and Y_3. The first one-parameter family gives this relation matrix (writing λ for X_2):

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \pm i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \lambda & \mp\sqrt{1-\lambda^2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \pm\sqrt{1-\lambda^2} & \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \pm i \end{bmatrix}$$

We leave it to the reader to write down the relations between the operations $\vdash, \dashv$.

4. Self-duality for two associative operations

Definition 4.1. The operad with two associative operations has the relation matrix $[A]$ whose row space is a 2-dimensional subspace $A \subset \mathcal{O}(2)$:

$$[A]=\begin{bmatrix} 1&0&0&0&-1&0&0&0 \\ 0&0&0&1&0&0&0&-1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{aligned} &(x_1\vdash x_2)\vdash x_3 - x_1\vdash(x_2\vdash x_3)\equiv 0\\ &(x_1\dashv x_2)\dashv x_3 - x_1\dashv(x_2\dashv x_3)\equiv 0\end{aligned}$$

Linear combinations of $\vdash, \dashv$ are associative if and only if the operations satisfy the compatibility relation (Definition 1.1).

Let $[R]$ be a relation matrix of rank 4 for an operad with two binary operations. $[R]$ is in RCF with leading 1s in columns j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4. Since the operations are associative, $A \subset R$, and stacking $[R]$ on top of $[A]$ gives a matrix of rank 4. Since A has leading 1s in columns 1 and 4, we have $\{1,4\} \subset \{j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4\}$. There are $\binom{6}{2} = 15$ cases for the other two columns; see Table 5.

Definition 4.2. To obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for the operations to be associative, we stack $[R]$ on top of $[A]$, and use the leading 1s in $[R]$ to eliminate the nonzero entries in columns $j_1, \ldots, j_4$ in $[A]$. Then rows 5, 6 are zero if and only if $R + A = R$, that is $A \subset R$. The equations obtained by setting the entries in rows 5, 6 to zero are the **associativity conditions** on the parameters.

Example 4.3. Consider the relation matrix $[R]$ in case 1:

$$\begin{bmatrix} R \\ A \end{bmatrix} = \left[\begin{array}{cccccccc} 1&0&0&0&W_1&X_1&Y_1&Z_1 \\ 0&1&0&0&W_2&X_2&Y_2&Z_2 \\ 0&0&1&0&W_3&X_3&Y_3&Z_3 \\ 0&0&0&1&W_4&X_4&Y_4&Z_4 \\ \hline 1&0&0&0&-1&0&0&0 \\ 0&0&0&1&0&0&0&-1 \end{array}\right] \longrightarrow \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 1&0&0&0&W_1 \quad X_1 \quad Y_1 \quad Z_1 \\ 0&1&0&0&W_2 \quad X_2 \quad Y_2 \quad Z_2 \\ 0&0&1&0&W_3 \quad X_3 \quad Y_3 \quad Z_3 \\ 0&0&0&1&W_4 \quad X_4 \quad Y_4 \quad Z_4 \\ \hline 0&0&0&0&-W_1-1 \quad -X_1 \quad -Y_1 \quad -Z_1 \\ 0&0&0&0&-W_4 \quad -X_4 \quad -Y_4 \quad -Z_4-1 \end{array}\right]$$

Hence $A \subset R$ if and only if $W_1, Z_4 = -1$ and $X_1, Y_1, Z_1, W_4, X_4, Y_4 = 0$, which means that rows 1 and 4 of $[R]$ coincide with the two rows of $[A]$.

$$1\begin{bmatrix} 1&0&0&0&W_1&X_1&Y_1&Z_1\\ 0&1&0&0&W_2&X_2&Y_2&Z_2\\ 0&0&1&0&W_3&X_3&Y_3&Z_3\\ 0&0&0&1&W_4&X_4&Y_4&Z_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad 2\begin{bmatrix} 1&0&W_1&0&0&X_1&Y_1&Z_1\\ 0&1&W_2&0&0&X_2&Y_2&Z_2\\ 0&0&0&1&0&X_3&Y_3&Z_3\\ 0&0&0&0&1&X_4&Y_4&Z_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad 3\begin{bmatrix} 1&0&W_1&0&X_1&0&Y_1&Z_1\\ 0&1&W_2&0&X_2&0&Y_2&Z_2\\ 0&0&0&1&X_3&0&Y_3&Z_3\\ 0&0&0&0&1&X_4&Y_4&Z_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$4\begin{bmatrix} 1&0&W_1&0&X_1&Y_1&0&Z_1\\ 0&1&W_2&0&X_2&Y_2&0&Z_2\\ 0&0&0&1&X_3&Y_3&0&Z_3\\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&1&Z_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad 5\begin{bmatrix} 1&0&W_1&0&X_1&Y_1&Z_1&0\\ 0&1&W_2&0&X_2&Y_2&Z_2&0\\ 0&0&0&1&X_3&Y_3&Z_3&0\\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&0&1 \end{bmatrix} \quad 6\begin{bmatrix} 1&W_1&0&0&0&X_1&Y_1&Z_1\\ 0&0&1&0&0&X_2&Y_2&Z_2\\ 0&0&0&1&0&X_3&Y_3&Z_3\\ 0&0&0&0&1&X_4&Y_4&Z_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$7\begin{bmatrix} 1&W_1&0&0&X_1&0&Y_1&Z_1\\ 0&0&1&0&X_2&0&Y_2&Z_2\\ 0&0&0&1&X_3&0&Y_3&Z_3\\ 0&0&0&0&0&1&Y_4&Z_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad 8\begin{bmatrix} 1&W_1&0&0&X_1&Y_1&0&Z_1\\ 0&0&1&0&X_2&Y_2&0&Z_2\\ 0&0&0&1&X_3&Y_3&0&Z_3\\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&1&Z_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad 9\begin{bmatrix} 1&W_1&0&0&X_1&Y_1&Z_1&0\\ 0&0&1&0&X_2&Y_2&Z_2&0\\ 0&0&0&1&X_3&Y_3&Z_3&0\\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&0&1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$10\begin{bmatrix} 1&W_1&X_1&0&0&0&Y_1&Z_1\\ 0&0&0&1&0&0&Y_2&Z_2\\ 0&0&0&0&1&0&Y_3&Z_3\\ 0&0&0&0&0&1&Y_4&Z_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad 11\begin{bmatrix} 1&W_1&X_1&0&0&Y_1&0&Z_1\\ 0&0&0&1&0&Y_2&0&Z_2\\ 0&0&0&0&1&Y_3&0&Z_3\\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&1&Z_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad 12\begin{bmatrix} 1&W_1&X_1&0&0&Y_1&Z_1&0\\ 0&0&0&1&0&Y_2&Z_2&0\\ 0&0&0&0&1&Y_3&Z_3&0\\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&0&1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$13\begin{bmatrix} 1&W_1&X_1&0&Y_1&0&0&Z_1\\ 0&0&0&1&Y_2&0&0&Z_2\\ 0&0&0&0&0&1&0&Z_3\\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&1&Z_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad 14\begin{bmatrix} 1&W_1&X_1&0&Y_1&0&Z_1&0\\ 0&0&0&1&Y_2&0&Z_2&0\\ 0&0&0&0&0&1&Z_3&0\\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&0&1 \end{bmatrix} \quad 15\begin{bmatrix} 1&W_1&X_1&0&Y_1&Z_1&0&0\\ 0&0&0&1&Y_2&Z_2&0&0\\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&1&0\\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&0&1 \end{bmatrix}$$

TABLE 5. Relation matrices for two associative operations

The relation matrices obtained after applying the associativity conditions appear in Table 6. The number of parameters in each case has dropped.

It remains to use Loday's algorithm (see Table 2) to determine which values of the parameters produce self-dual operads.

Lemma 4.4. *For cases* $6,\dots,15$ *no values of the parameters imply self-duality.*

Proof. In these cases T contains a nonzero scalar; the rest follows Lemma 3.3. □

Theorem 4.5. *The quadratic nonsymmetric operad* $\mathcal{Q}$ *with two associative binary operationsis self-dual if and only if its relation matrix* $[R]$ *is one of:*

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1&0&0&0&-1&0&0&0\\ 0&1&0&0&0&\pm\lambda&\pm\sqrt{1-\lambda^2}&0\\ 0&0&1&0&0&\pm\sqrt{1-\lambda^2}&\lambda&0\\ 0&0&0&1&0&0&0&-1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (\lambda\in\mathbb{F}) \qquad \begin{bmatrix} 1&0&0&0&-1&0&0&0\\ 0&1&\pm i&0&0&0&0&0\\ 0&0&0&1&0&0&0&-1\\ 0&0&0&0&0&1&\pm i&0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.4, self-dual associative operads exist only in cases $1,\dots,5$.

• *Case 1:* We obtain (omitting zero columns from $-T$):

$$\begin{bmatrix} R\\ R^{\pm} \end{bmatrix} = \left[\begin{array}{cccccccc} 1&0&0&0&-1&0&0&0\\ 0&1&0&0&W_2&X_2&Y_2&Z_2\\ 0&0&1&0&W_3&X_3&Y_3&Z_3\\ 0&0&0&1&0&0&0&-1\\ \hline -1&W_2&W_3&0&1&0&0&0\\ 0&X_2&X_3&0&0&1&0&0\\ 0&Y_2&Y_3&0&0&0&1&0\\ 0&Z_2&Z_3&-1&0&0&0&1 \end{array}\right] \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} R\\ T \end{bmatrix},$$

$$1\begin{bmatrix}1&0&0&0&-1&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0&W_2&X_2&Y_2&Z_2\\0&0&1&0&W_3&X_3&Y_3&Z_3\\0&0&0&1&0&0&0&-1\end{bmatrix}\quad 2\begin{bmatrix}1&0&0&0&0&X_1&Y_1&Z_1\\0&1&W_2&0&0&X_2&Y_2&Z_2\\0&0&0&1&0&0&0&-1\\0&0&0&0&1&X_1&Y_1&Z_1\end{bmatrix}\quad 3\begin{bmatrix}1&0&0&0&-1&0&0&0\\0&1&W_2&0&X_2&0&Y_2&Z_2\\0&0&0&1&0&0&0&-1\\0&0&0&0&0&1&Y_4&Z_4\end{bmatrix}$$

$$4\begin{bmatrix}1&0&0&0&-1&0&0&0\\0&1&W_2&0&X_2&Y_2&0&Z_2\\0&0&0&1&0&0&0&-1\\0&0&0&0&0&0&1&Z_4\end{bmatrix}\quad 5\begin{bmatrix}1&0&0&0&-1&0&0&0\\0&1&W_2&0&X_2&Y_2&Z_2&0\\0&0&0&1&0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0&0&0&0&1\end{bmatrix}\quad 6\begin{bmatrix}1&0&0&0&0&0&X_1&Y_1&Z_1\\0&0&1&0&0&0&X_2&Y_2&Z_2\\0&0&0&1&0&0&0&0&-1\\0&0&0&0&0&1&X_1&Y_1&Z_1\end{bmatrix}$$

$$7\begin{bmatrix}1&0&0&0&-1&0&0&0\\0&0&1&0&X_2&0&Y_2&Z_2\\0&0&0&1&0&0&0&-1\\0&0&0&0&0&1&Y_4&Z_4\end{bmatrix}\quad 8\begin{bmatrix}1&0&0&0&-1&0&0&0\\0&0&1&0&X_2&Y_2&0&Z_2\\0&0&0&1&0&0&0&-1\\0&0&0&0&0&0&1&Z_4\end{bmatrix}\quad 9\begin{bmatrix}1&0&0&0&-1&0&0&0\\0&0&1&0&X_2&Y_2&Z_2&0\\0&0&0&1&0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0&0&0&0&1\end{bmatrix}$$

$$10\begin{bmatrix}1&0&0&0&0&0&Y_1&Z_1\\0&0&0&1&0&0&0&-1\\0&0&0&0&1&0&Y_1&Z_1\\0&0&0&0&0&1&Y_4&Z_4\end{bmatrix}\quad 11\begin{bmatrix}1&0&0&0&0&Y_1&0&Z_1\\0&0&0&1&0&0&0&-1\\0&0&0&0&1&Y_1&0&Z_1\\0&0&0&0&0&0&1&Z_4\end{bmatrix}\quad 12\begin{bmatrix}1&0&0&0&0&Y_1&Z_1&0\\0&0&0&1&0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0&1&Y_1&Z_1&0\\0&0&0&0&0&0&0&1\end{bmatrix}$$

$$13\begin{bmatrix}1&0&0&0&-1&0&0&0\\0&0&0&1&0&0&0&-1\\0&0&0&0&0&1&0&Z_3\\0&0&0&0&0&0&1&Z_4\end{bmatrix}\quad 14\begin{bmatrix}1&0&0&0&-1&0&0&0\\0&0&0&1&0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0&0&1&Z_3&0\\0&0&0&0&0&0&0&1\end{bmatrix}\quad 15\begin{bmatrix}1&0&0&0&-1&0&0&0\\0&0&0&1&0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0&0&0&1&0\\0&0&0&0&0&0&0&1\end{bmatrix}$$

TABLE 6. Relation matrices after applying associativity conditions

$$-T=\begin{bmatrix}W_2^2+W_3^2 & W_2X_2+W_3X_3 & W_2Y_2+W_3Y_3 & W_2Z_2+W_3Z_3\\ W_2X_2+W_3X_3 & X_2^2+X_3^2-1 & X_2Y_2+X_3Y_3 & X_2Z_2+X_3Z_3\\ W_2Y_2+W_3Y_3 & X_2Y_2+X_3Y_3 & Y_2^2+Y_3^2-1 & Y_2Z_2+Y_3Z_3\\ W_2Z_2+W_3Z_3 & X_2Z_2+X_3Z_3 & Y_2Z_2+Y_3Z_3 & Z_2^2+Z_3^2\end{bmatrix}$$

The entries of $[T]$ generate an ideal $\mathcal{I}$ in the polynomial ring $\Phi[\mathcal{P}]$ where $\mathcal{P}$ is the set of 8 parameters. The zero set $V(\mathcal{I})$ consists of the parameter values for which the relation matrix $[R]$ defines a self-dual operad. The Gröbner basis for $\mathcal{I}$ is

$$Z_3,\quad Z_2,\quad W_3,\quad W_2,\quad Y_2^2+Y_3^2-1,\quad X_2Y_2+X_3Y_3,\quad X_3^2+Y_3^2-1,$$
$$X_2X_3+Y_2Y_3,\quad X_2^2-Y_3^2,\quad X_3Y_2Y_3-X_2Y_3^2+X_2.$$

We obtain a one-parameter set of solutions; the signs may be chosen independently:

$$W_2=0,\quad W_3=0,\quad X_2=\pm Y_3,\quad X_3=\pm\sqrt{1-Y_3^2},$$
$$Y_2=\pm\sqrt{1-Y_3^2},\quad Y_3=\text{free},\quad Z_2=0,\quad Z_3=0.$$

With these values the relation matrix $[R_1]$ takes the indicated form.

• *Case 2:* In this case, after deleting the columns which are zero, we obtain

$$[T]=\begin{bmatrix}W_2^2+1 & W_2X_2 & W_2Y_2 & W_2Z_2\\ -W_2X_2 & -X_2^2+1 & -X_2Y_2 & -X_2Z_2\\ -W_2Y_2 & -X_2Y_2 & -Y_2^2+1 & -Y_2Z_2\\ -W_2Z_2 & -X_2Z_2 & -Y_2Z_2 & -Z_2^2\end{bmatrix}$$

The ideal generated by the entries of $[T]$ has Gröbner basis $\{1\}$: no solutions.

• *Case 3:* After deleting the zero columns, we obtain

$$[T] = \begin{bmatrix} W_2^2+1 & W_2X_2 & W_2Y_2 & W_2Z_2 \\ -W_2X_2 & -X_2^2 & -X_2Y_2 & -X_2Z_2 \\ -W_2Y_2 & -X_2Y_2 & -Y_2^2+Y_4^2+1 & -Y_2Z_2+Y_4Z_4 \\ -W_2Z_2 & -X_2Z_2 & -Y_2Z_2+Y_4Z_4 & -Z_2^2+Z_4^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

The Gröbner basis for the ideal generated by the entries is

$$Z_4, \quad Z_2, \quad Y_2, \quad X_2, \quad Y_4^2+1, \quad W_2^2+1.$$

With the zero set of this ideal, the relation matrix $[R_3]$ takes the indicated form.

• *Cases 4, 5:* The ideal generated by the entries of $[T]$ has Gröbner basis $\{1\}$. □

Acknowledgements

Murray Bremner was supported by a Discovery Grant from NSERC, the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. Juana Sánchez-Ortega was supported by a CSUR grant from the NRF, the National Research Foundation of South Africa. The authors thank Vladimir Dotsenko for pointing out the connection between relation matrices and Schubert calculus on Grassmannians.

References

[1] M. R. Bremner, V. Dotsenko: *Algebraic Operads: An Algorithmic Companion*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2016.

[2] W. Fulton: *Young Tableaux, with Applications to Representation Theory and Geometry*. London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 35. Cambridge University Press, 1997.

[3] H. Hiller: *Geometry of Coxeter Groups*. Research Notes in Mathematics, 54. Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston & London, 1982.

[4] J.-L. Loday: Algèbres ayant deux opérations associatives (digèbres). C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 321 (1995), no. 2, 141–146.

[5] J.-L. Loday: Dialgebras. *Dialgebras and Related Operads*, pages 7–66. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1763. Springer, Berlin, 2001.

[6] J.-L. Loday, B. Vallette: *Algebraic Operads*. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 346. Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.

[7] M. Markl, E. Remm: (Non-)Koszulness of operads for n-ary algebras, galgalim and other curiosities. *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.* 10 (2015), no. 4, 939–969.

[8] J. M. Osborn: Identities of non-associative algebras. *Canad. J. Math.* 17 (1965) 78–92.

[9] G. W. Zinbiel (J.-L. Loday): Encyclopedia of types of algebras 2010. *Operads and Universal Algebra*, pages 217–297. Nankai Ser. Pure Appl. Math. Theoret. Phys., 9. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2012.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Canada

E-mail address: bremner@math.usask.ca

Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Cape Town, South Africa

E-mail address: juana.sanchez-ortega@uct.ac.za